

PATENT COOPERATION TEATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference F17465 PP/tk			FOR FURTHER A	ACTION		n of Transmittal of International amination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)				
International application No. PCT/IB 03/03687			International filing date 03.09.2003	e (day/mont	h/year)	Priority date <i>(day/month/year)</i> 13.09.2002				
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC E04H4/16										
Applic HOA		N ANDREW VALENTIN	E, et al.							
1.	 This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. 									
2.	This RE	PORT consists of a total o	f 6 sheets, including t	this cover	sheet.					
	This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).									
	These annexes consist of a total of sheets.									
3.	This rep	ort contains indications rel	ating to the following it	tems:						
i		Basis of the opinion								
ı	II 🗆	Priority								
i	II 🗵	Non-establishment of o	pinion with regard to r	novelty, inv	entive step an	d industrial applicability				
1	V 🗆	Lack of unity of invention	n							
'	V 🖾 Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement									
\	vi 🗆	Certain documents cited		atomon(
١	VII 🗆	Certain defects in the in	ternational application	1						
١	VIII Certain observations on the international application									
Date of submission of the demand Date of completion of this report										
04.03.	.2004			20.09.20	·					
		g address of the international		Authorize						
prelimin		nining authority: propean Patent Office 80298 Munich dl. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 x: +49 89 2399 - 4465	i epmu d	Stefane:	scu, R e No. +49 89 239	99-2475				

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/IB 03/03687

5	. 🗆	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).								
		(Any replacement sheet con report.)	ntaining	g such amend	dments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this					
6	. Add	ditional observations, if necessary:								
III. Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability										
1	. The	questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non- ous), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:								
		the entire international application,								
	\boxtimes	claims Nos. 13,14								
		because:								
		the said international applica not require an international p	tion, o relimin	r the said cla ary examina	ims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does tion (specify):					
	\boxtimes	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. 13,14 are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):								
		see separate sheet								
		the claims, or said claims No could be formed.	s. are	so inadequat	ely supported by the description that no meaningful opinion					
	\boxtimes	no international search report	t has b	een establisł	ned for the said claims Nos. 13,14					
2.	ora	meaningful international preliminary examination cannot be carried out due to the failure of the nucleotide and/ amino acid sequence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative structions:								
		the written form has not been furnished or does not comply with the Standard.								
		the computer readable form has not been furnished or does not comply with the Standard.								
٧.	. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement									
١.	State	tement								
	Nove	elty (N)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-12					
Inventive step (IS)			Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-12					
Industrial applicability (IA)			Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-12					

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (January 2004)

2. Citations and explanations

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/IB 03/03687

see separate sheet

EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

Re Item III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

Dependent claims 13 and 14 do not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT in that the matter for which protection is sought is not clearly defined. Moreover the claims attempts to define the subject-matter with references to the description and to the drawings without mentioning any technical features, contrary to the Rule 6.2 PCT.

Re Item V

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. The following document is referred to in this report; the numbering will be adhered to in the rest of the procedure:

D1: US-A-5554277

2. Document D1, which is considered to represent the most relevant state of the art, discloses (see D1, especially, column 3, line 29 to column 5, line 37 and fig. 2-4) also a leaf trap device which comprises the features of the preamble (line 1 to 16) of the independent claim 1.

The difference from the leaf trap device described in D1 consists in that:

the strained water from the debris basket (12) continues freely to travel on through the perforations (13) into the outer body chamber (20) drawn by the suction forces of the pump filter system (3). The pool water then flows out of the outlet opening (9) into the hose (4) and on to the pool suction pump and filter system (3) - see D1, especially column 4, line 7 to line 61 and fig.2,3.

Consequently, the subject matter of claim 1 is new (Art.33(2) PCT).

3. The problem to be solved by the present application is to find a way to

ameliorate the suction pressure of the water recirculation system

The solutions as proposed by the claim 1 (line 17 to 24) provides the use of a discharge valve which is located in the leaf discharge opening and is opened or closed by a control means which is connected to the pump of the water recirculation system.

The opening an closing of this discharge valve at regular time intervals regulates the flow of the

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY International application No. PCT/IB03/03687 EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

water through the discharge opening and allows the debris to be discharged from the tank compartment. Thus the clogging of the water recirculation system because of the debris is avoided and therefore its suction pressure is improved.

Consequently, the subject matter of claim 1 is inventive (Art.33(3) PCT).

4. Claims 2-12 are dependent on claim 1 and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.

Remarks:

- a) To meet the requirements of Rule 6.3(b) PCT, the independent claim 1 should have been properly cast in the **two part form**, with those features which in combination are part of the prior art being placed in the preamble (see document **D1**).
- b) To meet the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, document **D1** should have been identified in the description and the relevant background art disclosed therein should have been briefly discussed.
- c) Reference signs in parentheses should have been be inserted in the claims to increase their intelligibility; this applies to both the preamble and characterising portion (Rule 6.2(b) PCT).
- d) The **description** should have been brought into conformity with the claims (Rule 5.1(a)(iii) PCT).